



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,521	03/01/2004	Steven M. Viny	DAL-101	9908	
7590 03/22/2006			EXAM	INER	
Howard M. Cohn			MILLER, JONATHAN R		
Suite 220					
21625 Chagrin I	Blvd.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Cleveland, OH 44122			3653		
		DATE MAIL ED: 03/2/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Ap	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10.	7790,521	VINY, STEVEN	VINY, STEVEN M.			
		Exa	aminer	Art Unit				
			athan R. Miller	3653				
The li	MAILING DATE of this commun y	ication appears	on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress			
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) M - If NO period for Failure to reply Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MILLIANT BY THE M	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will app will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply but and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a) ☐ This a 3) ☐ Since	onsive to communication(s) file ction is FINAL . this application is in condition I in accordance with the practi	2b)⊠ This action for allowance e	except for formal matters,		e merits is			
Disposition of	Claims							
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claims 6) ☑ Claims 7) ☐ Claims 8) ☐ Claims Application Pap 9) ☐ The sp 10) ☑ The drive	(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the at the above claim(s) is/are the above claim(s) is/are (s) is/are allowed. (s) 1-14 is/are rejected. (s) is/are objected to. (s) are subject to restrict pers ecification is objected to by the awing(s) filed on ? is/are: ant may not request that any objectement drawing sheet(s) including	e Examiner. a) A accepted action to the drawing to	ction requirement. d or b) objected to by the or b, be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 3	85 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	erences Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Summ					
3) 🔯 Information D	ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or flail Date <u>20040301</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/790,521 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the cylinder" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 4. Claims 7 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 7 recites the limitation "the side elongate elements" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claim 7 recites the limitation "the two side elongate elements" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Objections

- 7. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: approximately is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.
- 8. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: respect is misspelled.

 Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,521

Art Unit: 3653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1, 4, 5, 6 and 12 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roman. The reference discloses a first conveyor system having a conveyor belt (18) wrapping around a roller (24) at an end of the conveyor belt, and conveying the pieces shredded trash, in a direction, to the end of the first conveyor system (Fig. 4); an air manifold (116) positioned underneath and approximately at the end of the conveyor belt for providing an air stream (Fig. 4) which is generally in the direction of travel of the conveyor belt; and a splitter plate system (164) disposed at a position forward of the end of the conveyor, comprising an elongate element (166) which is essentially parallel to the roller at the end of the conveyor.
- 11. With regards to claim 4, the reference further discloses a splitter sheet (158, 160) hanging below the cylinder (166), defining an accumulation area (74) on one side of the splitter sheet which is proximal the first conveyor system; and an accumulation area (76) on another side of the splitter sheet which is distal the first conveyor system.
- 12. With regards to claim 5, the reference further discloses the accumulation area has a second conveyor system for removing the lighter materials that accumulate on the side of the splitter sheet which is distal the first conveyor system (Fig. 1).
- 13. With regards to claim 6, the reference further discloses the conveyor belt is disposed at an angle "a" with respect to horizontal; and the angle is approximately 30-60 degrees (Fig. 4).

Art Unit: 3653

- 14. With regards to claim 12, the reference further discloses conveying the pieces of shredded trash (col. 1, lines 5+), in a direction, to an end of a conveyor; at the end of the conveyor, providing an airstream in the direction; disposing a bar and a splitter sheet forward of the end of the conveyor; and collecting heavier pieces of material in a first accumulation area on one side of the splitter sheet which is proximal the end of the conveyor and collecting lighter pieces of material in a second accumulation area on an opposite side of the splitter sheet which is distal the end of the conveyor (Fig. 4).
- 15. With regards to claim 13, the reference further discloses the bar (166) is a cylinder, and further comprising: rotating the cylinder (col. 7, lines 54+).
- 16. With regards to claim 14, the reference further discloses controlling an amount of pieces which are collected in the second accumulation area by adjusting at least one of the following parameters: a speed of the conveyor, an angle of the conveyor with respect to horizontal, an angle of the airstream with respect to the angle of the conveyor, a pressure of the airstream, a position of the airstream relative to the end of the conveyor, a distance between the bar and the conveyor, and a height of the bar with respect to a height of the conveyor (col. 8, lines 19+).
- 17. Claims 1 4, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilbur et al. The reference discloses a first conveyor system having a conveyor belt (44) wrapping around a roller (54) at an end of the conveyor belt, and conveying the pieces, in a direction, to the end of the first conveyor system; an air manifold (col. 3, lines 64+) positioned underneath and approximately at the end of the conveyor belt for providing an air stream which is generally in the direction of travel of the conveyor belt; and a splitter plate system (74) disposed at a position forward of the end of the conveyor, comprising an elongate element (42) which is

essentially parallel to the roller at the end of the conveyor. "Shredded trash" is intended use and not given patentable weight. Examiner contends that the air stream is generally in the direction of travel of the conveyor belt. What is the general direction of travel of the conveyor belt? At some point they are in the same direction as the belt passes around the roller. Furthermore, Examiner contends that the manifold is sufficiently positioned underneath the conveyor belt as shown in Fig. 1.

- 18. With regards to claim 2, the reference further discloses the elongate element is a cylinder (42).
- 19. With regards to claim 3, the reference further discloses the roller rotates in a direction; and the cylinder rotates (col. 4, lines 8+).
- 20. With regards to claim 4, the reference further discloses a splitter sheet (70) hanging below the cylinder, defining an accumulation area (68) on one side of the splitter sheet which is proximal the first conveyor system; and an accumulation area (72) on another side of the splitter sheet which is distal the first conveyor system.
- 21. With regards to claim 7, the reference further inherently discloses a structural frame having opposite side elements and a bottom elongate element extending between the side elongate elements; and a splitter sheet hanging from the two side elongate elements. Examiner contends that the recited limitations must be present in the reference although the basic structure is not shown in the figures.
- 22. With regards to claim 8, the reference further discloses the bottom elongate element (24) comprises a cylinder.

Art Unit: 3653

- 23. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaufmann. The reference discloses a structural frame having opposite side elements (68) and a bottom elongate element (68) extending between the side elongate elements; and a splitter sheet (65) hanging from the two side elongate elements.
- 24. With regards to claim 8, the reference further discloses the bottom elongate element comprises a cylinder (col. 5, lines 1+).
- 25. With regards to claim 9, the reference further discloses means (79) for raising and lowering the structural frame.
- 26. With regards to claim 10, the reference further discloses means (79) for moving the structural frame towards and away from a conveyor system (20). Examiner contends that the sides of the hopper are a conveyor system.
- 27. With regards to claim 11, the reference further discloses the structural frame is a generally rectangular structural frame having a top elongate element (69) and two opposite side elongate elements (68) extending downwards from opposite ends of the top elongate element, and a bottom elongate element (66 adjacent elements 68) extending between bottom portions of the side elongate elements, thereby forming a generally rectangular window; and the splitter sheet hangs from bottom ends of the two side elongate elements (Fig. 5). What constitutes an elongate element? What does it mean to extend between bottom portions of the side elongate elements? Examiner contends that these limitations are met by the reference as the bottom elements have a length and they extend between bottom portions of the side elements individually.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,521 Page 7

Art Unit: 3653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

28. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 29. Claims 1 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilbur et al. The reference discloses a first conveyor system having a conveyor belt (44) wrapping around a roller (54) at an end of the conveyor belt, and conveying the pieces, in a direction, to the end of the first conveyor system; an air manifold, and a splitter plate system (74) disposed at a position forward of the end of the conveyor, comprising an elongate element (42) which is essentially parallel to the roller at the end of the conveyor. "Shredded trash" is intended use and not given patentable weight.
- 30. The reference fails to disclose the manifold positioned underneath and at the end of the conveyor belt for providing an airstream that is generally in the direction of the conveyor belt. Examiner takes Official Notice that repositioning of the manifold to propel one portion of the mixture instead of retarding a second portion of the mixture is well known in the art. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a propelling manifold to prevent damage to the second portion.
- 31. With regards to claim 2, the reference further discloses the elongate element is a cylinder (42).
- 32. With regards to claim 3, the reference further discloses the roller rotates in a direction; and the cylinder rotates (col. 4, lines 8+).

Application/Control Number: 10/790,521 Page 8

Art Unit: 3653

33. With regards to claim 4, the reference further discloses a splitter sheet (70) hanging below the cylinder, defining an accumulation area (68) on one side of the splitter sheet which is proximal the first conveyor system; and an accumulation area (72) on another side of the splitter sheet which is distal the first conveyor system.

34. With regards to claim 5, the reference fails to explicitly disclose a second conveyor system. Examiner takes Official Notice that a second conveyer positioned in the accumulation area is well known in the art to provide transport of sorted items. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a take-away conveyor to provide transport of the sorted items without human contact.

Conclusion

35. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan R. Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-6940. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy A. Matecki can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,521

Art Unit: 3653

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jrm

Rathy Matecki
KATHY MATECKI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600